19

Department of L.R.L Sett

OFFICE of the Biologist

STATION Madras.

Mus. No. 2122

2122

Dated 7-7-33

The Hon'ble The Board of Revenue,

Land Revenue,

Madras

The humble petition of Doddalinga gowdan of Kottanur, hamlet of Thellanur village of Kollegal taluk of Coimbatore district forayo

for leave to submit as follows:—

Petitioner obtained on darkhast S. F. No. 37-A3 of Chickapuram village measuring 3 acres and 84 cents with an assessment of Rs 4-5-0. Patta for the said land was granted to him in D. R. 391/40 dated 30. 6. 1931.

the following among other reasons.

4 Under the provisions of the Board's Standing Orders governing darkhast applications for lands which are reserved ought to be rejected by the Jahsildar - forthwith and no further proceedings are necessary

5 The land in question should have been reserved either before the darkhast was granted or after. If the reservation was prior the Jahsildar should have rejected the application. But, as it is, he granted it. The issue of patta for the said land over the seal and signature of the Revenue Divisional Office, Kollegal confirmed the grant if any confirmation was at all needed. The only legal and natural inference that can be drawn from the action of the Jahsildar and that of the Revenue Divisional Office, Kollegal is that to the best knowledge of the Jahsildar and of the Revenue Divisional Office the land was not reserved for Adidravidas. at the time.

It is therefore matter for kind and gracious consideration of the Board if in these circumstances an illiterate ryot living in a hilly part can be presumed or expected to know of the reservation if at all it was a fact in existence before the grant of the land.

6. Before a land is granted or declared the application is notified in the village for (15) days inviting objections if any.

7. It is only when there is no objection either on the ground that the land is reserved or that for other valid reasons people object to the grant that an application for land is granted.

8. For purposes of survey and sub-division, for fixing tree value and allied processes revenue officers have visited and inspected the land prior to its grant.

9 During none of these stages was the objection of

the intention of government
that its subordinate revenue
officers should allow people
to spend large sums of
hard-earned money on lands
which the officers concerns
know are likely to be
from those people for res
for other communities
land in question was not
plied for by any adi-dr
either when the petition
application was satisfied
or was granted. In all dar-
khast cases statements are
recorded from Adi-dravidas
today whether or not they want
the land for themselves. That
statement should be able to show
that the land was not wanted
by the community for whom the
Collectors order under reference
is said to reserve it. No oc-
cupied land, still less a patta
land, can be reserved to the
prejudice of the occupant.

13. The patta granted to the petitioner is not a conditional patta

There are several numbers of acres of equally good and better lands available for darkast. There is enough need more to see the needs of genuine and voluntary applicants for land.

15. In these circumstances petitioner prays in all humility that the Honble Board may be graciously pleased to call for the connected papers and set aside the order of the Collector and restore his patta.

7th March 1933. ದಾಖಲಾತಿದಾರರು

The Hon'ble The Board of
Revenue,
Land Revenue & Settlement
Chepauk
Madras

of Certified Copies of the
records referred to in the
reference

They will be
Heard to the Board

the petitioner. The
prays that time may be
kindly granted till then.

30th March 1933.

Subject.

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____, dated _____ _____ 193

" " " _____, " _____ 193

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, From the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193 .

Hon'ble The Board of
Revenue, Land Revenue,
Secretariat, Madras.

The humble petition of Dodda Linga Gowdan of Kothanur, hamlet of Hallanur Kollegal taluk, Coimbatore district begs to submit as follows:-

With reference to Boards No. B. 1433/33 dated 10.3.33 petitioner begs to enclose here with Copy of the Tahsildar's order No. Rev 812/33 dated 7.2.33

2. Copy of the above was received Yesterday evening.

3. Copy of Tahsildar's order Dr 391/40 dated 30.6.31 has not yet been granted to me. It will will be submitted as soon as it is received by the petitioner.



Ref 813/33. மாம்ஜூனில் கண்ட நுன்கானுக்கு எண்டாம்:—

திண்ணைதுர் தீர்வுத்தில் உடைத்த 30-6-31 தேதிய உத்திரவில் நிகாயத்தில் கொடுக்கப்பட்ட மாம்ஜூனில் கண்ட கலையாளை ஆதிதிராவிடர்களுக்கு நிலம் வேய்யப்படுவதற்காக நிகாயத்தை திரும்ப ஒப்படைக்கும்படி மே.கா.ஈ. ஆ கோயமுத்தூர் ஜில்லா கலெக்டர் அவர்களுடைய 15-12-32 தேதிய Roc 11540/32 உத்திரவு அனுமதிக்கிறது. தலையாரி வரி புலியாளுக்கு உண்மை அப்புறப்படுத்தி வரவேண்டிய ஆதிதிராவிடர்களுக்கு நிலம் வேய்யப்படும் வண்ணம் விவரம் அறியவும்.

37/A3. தேதிட்ட விண்ணப்பம் — D.R 391/40. dated 30-6-31.

(sd) Syed Khadir Mohiuddin Sahib. 7-2-33.

Tahsildar

(True copy)

Copied by B. Govinda Rao.
Compared by [illegible]

To, Dodalingapuram growndam,

Sno 37A/3 assigned to you on 30.6.31 has been reserved to the Adi dravidars vide

Ref. the collr has since cancelled the grant in his Roc 11540/32

You will be evicted from the land which will be assigned to Adi dravidars.

Tahsildar 8/4/33

(1st view last sheet)

delivered straight

P N Eleanor Hedley

27955 THREE [illegible]

STAMFORD HILL COLLEGE

29 3 83

this copy contains 86 words
and it should not be produced
before any court unless it
bears a court fee stamp of £

The humble pe-
Doddalinga vandan of Kothanur
hamlet of Thelliamur village
of Kollegal taluk of the Coimba-
tore dist & begs to submit
as follows.

Board's order B.
1433/33-1 dated 3.4.1933
was handed to the petitioner
by the village postman on
16.4.1933

The Collector of Coimbatore
for the said Corp as the
records were with him

READ AGAIN B. P. No. _____ , dated _____ 193

" " " _____ , " _____ 193

p23 of B 2046/33

READ ALSO :—

Register No. _____, from the _____, No. _____, dated _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193

" " _____, " " _____, " _____, " _____ 193

The humble petition of Doddalinga Gowdan of Kothanur hamlet of Thallanur village, Kollegal taluk, Coimbatore district begs to submit as follows: -

Ref: Board's Proceedings Ref: B. No. 1433-33-2 dated 25th April 1933.

The said proceedings was received through the village postman on 6.5.1933. Up-to-date the Collector of Coimbatore has not been pleased to grant Copies applied for or to Communicate any order on petitioner's Copy application dated 17th April 1933.

31

2 Petitioner is submis
ting a reminder to the Colle
of Coimbatore today forany
for early grant.

3 Copy will be sub
mitted to the Honorable
Board as soon as it is re
ceived by the petitioner
from the Collector of
Coimbatore.

Assignment - Land - (Coimbatore) -
Kollegal Tk. Chichayalur village
SF.No. 37/A3 - Dodalimpa goundar
Petition

B 2479/33 — A

Ref B No 1433/33 - 3 dt 15.5.33.

The petitioner should apply to the Tahsildar and produce before 15.6.33 a certified and stamped copy of ~~D.R~~ Dark No 391/40 dt 30.6.31 called for in the Bds's Endt No B 1433/33 dt 10.3.33 If he does not file it before that date his petition will be rejected.

To Hon'ble The Board of
Revenue,
Land Revenue & Settlement,
Chepauk,
Madras.

The humble petition of
Doddalinga Gowdan of Kothanur
hamlet of Thellanur village of Kollegal
taluk the Coimbatore district
begs to submit as follows

As submitted in his pre-
vious petitions in Compliance with the
Hon'ble The Board's order Ref:
1433-2/33 petitioner applied
the Tahsildar of Kollegal on
-3-933 for copy of his order
D.R No. 391/40 dated 30.6 1931.
The Tahsildar referred the peti-
tioner to the Collector of Coimbatore
Forthwith the petitioner applied
to the Collector for the copy
by the Hon'ble Board.

On 10th May'33 petitioner reminded the Collector praying for early grant of Copies for submission to the Hon'ble Board

2 No Copy or Communication on petitioner's application has been received from the Collector of Coimbatore up to date

3. The certified Copy will be submitted to this Hon'ble Board as soon as it is received by the petitioner

The humble petition of Doddaliga Gowdan of Kathanur hamlet of Kallanur village, Kallapatti Coimbatore district begs to submit as follows:-

Reference Hon'ble Board's No B 1433-33/3 dated 15th May 1933

Petitioner begs to enclose herewith certified copy of Tahsildar's order D-R 391-40.

2 The order contains no condition as to reservation. All the conditions contained therein are simply the printed conditions applying generally to all grants of land on darkhast

A - memoranda rec- & plot
there is a new clause no 16 which specifically asks whether any objection exists on the ground that the land is reserved for Adi-dravidas. It is clear therefore that the point was considered as it ought to be, by the Tahsildar before the order in D R and was verified by the Revenue Divisional Officer and the Jamabandy officer before granting patta under his seal and Signature

4. The foregoing facts clearly show that to the best knowledge of the Tahsildar & the Revenue Divisional officer no objection on the score of reservation existed at the time of grant. The order enclosed would also show that there was no rival applicant for the land at the time

5. Petitioner has spent a safe sum for getting the land for cultivation & therefore prays that the Honorable Board may be graciously pleased to restore the patta and the land to the petitioner and save him from ruin for no fault of his own.

June 1933

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
COPY STAMP
THREE
INDIA COURT FEE INDIA
EIGHT ANNAS

D.R 391/40.

திருட்டன் சொக்கப்பட்டத்தில் 'A' குறிய நத்தலில் கண்ட நிலத்தினத்திட்பட்ட சிங்கைதான் கிராமம் சர்வே 37 A/3 ஏ 3-84 சென்ட்டான முன்ன புலமை தொட்ட விலங்கேகயுண்டானுக்கு பட்டா மேலப்பட்டத்திற்கு. நிலத்தினால் திட்டாவில் நக்கல் நிலவரம்.

(sd) Syed Khadir Mohiuddin Sahib. 30/6/31
Tahsildar.

(True copy)

Copied by B. Govinda Rao.
Compared by K.M. Perumal.

Sy. no 37 A3 (3.84 acres) is assigned to Sankaralinga Gounder subject to the conditions in the annexed D. Form.

Tahsildar 18/5/33

ER Hgr
P N Vedathiri Esq,

NO 20999 THREE AS
STAMP FEE ILLEGAL
20.2.33.

This copy contains 44 words
and it should not be produced
before any court unless it
bears a court fee stamp of
annas

[redacted]	98/33	18-5-33
[redacted]		Do
[redacted]		Do
[redacted]		18-5-33
[redacted]		18/5/33

18/5/33

Doddi Chinnaiyanna of
Kothapalli
Hamlet of Vellanur
Kanakaraya

Assignment, ~~Encroachment~~ Land (Coimbatore)

Kollegal taluk-Chickanglur village

No. 37/A3-Dodaluiga Gounda petition.

Read - B. No. 1433/33 ~~1930 1931~~ (Q)

ference No. B. 1433/33-Q dated 23.6.33

Forwarded to the Collector

of Coimbatore for submission

of the records with any remarks ~~on any~~ which he considers

necessary regarding the allegations in the petition which are

not clearly dealt with in the

Assignment - Land - (Coimbatore)
Kollegal taluk - Chikhajalur
village - S.F no. 37/A3 - Doddalu
gowdan - Appeal - Allowed

B 1433/33 — Q.
B 1999/33 — Q.
B 3107/33 — Q.
B 3716/33 — Q.

Res. No. 2122 J/26.7.33.

The Board has examined the case. The petitioner, Doddaling gowdan, ~~has been~~ reported to have cultivated the land this year and last year and to have spent Rs 80 ~~for~~ making it fit for cultivation. The land was shown as S.no 37/A2 in the order of reservation ~~no collector~~ Lr No 5114 dated 3.6.28, ~~but~~ subsequently at the time of scrutiny of the subdivision records by the Inspecting Tahsildar A2 was ~~re-lettered~~ renumbered as A3; ~~but~~ but owing this change was not effected in the list of reserved lands. ~~and the clerk concerned put up a note that S.no 37/A3 was not reserved for the~~ ~~odu dasuvandas and so~~ The Tahsildar assigned the land to the petitioner in D.R.No. 391/40 A/30.631. The Board consi

and that he should not be deprived of
of the land for the fault committed
in the Calicut Office. In the circumstance
~~the Board cannot~~ to collectors
order concurring to grant of [illegible] 27 A 3
is set aside and ~~disapproved~~
~~to direct~~ remove it from the
~~list of lands reserved for the deprived~~
~~classes~~ the assignment already
made in favour of the petitioner is resto
~~No claim shall be received~~
~~claimed to the land after assignment~~
from the list of lands reserved
the deprived classes
the petitioner is referred to the
action for orders on his petition

[Issued as Bds's Rej B 1433/33-40/23·6·33]
B 3718/33 — pp 47-48 cf

Please see the report of the collector at pp 47-48 cf sent with the connected records.

2. Attention is invited to the Revenue Divisional Officer's report at pp 7-10 of the colln's file at (a) and the order of the collector thereon at pp 11-13 cf of (b)

The history of the case is as follows: The lands noted at p 7 cf of (a) were reserved for assignment to the depressed classes but they were assigned to caste Hindus subject to the reservation. The collector cancelled the assignments in the cases nos 3,4+5, at p 7 cf on the ground that they were made to caste myots

there was a
demand for the lands, but allowed
the assignments in cases nos 1 and 2 at
p 7 of of Q as to stand as these lands were reported
to be not required for administrative,
and ordered that the lands should be
removed from the reserved list.
The case in the present file relates
to the cancellation of the grant of
S no 37 A 3/6 H
3·84 acres) ~~by the collector~~
In this case as well as in ~~the other~~ cases
4 and 5 referred to at p 3 n·f, the collector
cancelled the assignments without
issuing notices to the assignees as
~~remuneration~~ it ~~cut~~ under B·S·015-18
no such notices were necessary. Though
B·S·015-18 does not make mention
of the issue of a notice prior to
cancellation, it is the practice
in the Bds Office to issue notice

A.S (L.R)

Draft submitted:

25.7.33

26.7.33